

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66845  
**Date:** Monday, 13 March 2017 3:44:40 PM

---

Online submission for **Gympie**

---

**Name:** steven luhrs

**Address:** 25 power rd gympie4570

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

Rainbow beach has no affinity with noosa and it is to far away and to drive there you have to go through gympie to get there gympie has close access to rainbow beach. so the boundries should not be changed. if they do rainbow beach will suffer

---

Submission ID: 66845

Time of Submission: 13 Mar 2017 3:44pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213

**From:** Garry Hewitt  
**To:** [Boundaries](#)  
**Subject:** Boundary realignment  
**Date:** Monday, 13 March 2017 7:59:22 PM

---

I am against the realignment because Noosa is 100K from Rainbow Beach by road so Y??. when Cooloola and Tin Can Bay only 20k and Gympie is 73, so Rainbow Beach is apart of Cooloola coast not Noosa.

Lillian E Hewitt  
20 Aparima Court  
Gympie 4570

---



Virus-free. [www.avast.com](http://www.avast.com)

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66846  
**Date:** Monday, 13 March 2017 11:24:08 PM

---

Online submission for **Gympie, Noosa**

---

**Name:** Murray Thomas  
**Address:** 26 Goondi st Rainbow Beach

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

As a resident of Rainbow Beach for 11 years I know for a fact we have a strong connection with Gympie, Cooloola cove and Tin Can Bay and very little in common with Noosa. It would be pointless for us to be part of an electorate that we have nothing to do with or want to do with. Why would I vote for a member of Noosa. We are not Noosa! It is important for us to vote for what will affect us locally. Rainbow has always been part of the Cooloola area and to change that would be a disgrace to the aboriginal people that named it (Cooloola) To change the situation to fill a quota is ridiculous. We are people not numbers! The numbers don't add up anyway. We all suspect that there is another underlying reason for this. I am 100 percent against Rainbow Beach becoming part of Noosa! Thankyou.

---

Submission ID: 66846

Time of Submission: 13 Mar 2017 11:24pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66847  
**Date:** Monday, 13 March 2017 11:27:17 PM

---

Online submission for **All Districts, Kawana**

---

**Name:** P

**Address:** 18 mawarra st buddina 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

Ridiculous

---

Submission ID: 66847

Time of Submission: 13 Mar 2017 11:27pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213

**From:** Tony Hewitt  
**To:** ["boundaries@ecq.qld.gov.au"](mailto:boundaries@ecq.qld.gov.au)  
**Subject:** FW: Boundary  
**Date:** Tuesday, 14 March 2017 7:59:58 AM

---

Dear Sir/Madam

I would like to advise I am against the proposed change of the Noosa Electorate to incorporate Rainbow Beach. Rainbow Beach, Tin Can Bay and Cooloola Village have a tight community and I think this would upset that community.

Tony Hewitt  
5 Oscar Crescent  
Albany Creek Qld 4035

AFS Licence no. 238507  
ABN 28 081 966 243

IFAA and its representatives can only provide general financial product advice which does not take into account your individual financial situation, objectives or needs.

This e-mail and any attachments may be confidential. You must not disclose or use the information contained in this e-mail if you are not the intended recipient. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us immediately and delete the e-mail and all copies. IFAA does not guarantee that this e-mail is virus or error free. The attached files are provided and may only be used on the basis that the user assumes all responsibility for any loss, damage or consequence resulting directly or indirectly from the use of the attached files, whether caused by the negligence of the sender or not. IFAA is not responsible for any changes made to a document other than those made by IFAA, or for the effect of any changes (not made by IFAA) on a document's meaning. The content and opinions in non-business e-mail are not necessarily those of IFAA.

Message protected by MailGuard: e-mail anti-virus, anti-spam and content filtering.  
<http://www.mailguard.com.au/mg>

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66848  
**Date:** Tuesday, 14 March 2017 9:13:02 AM

---

Online submission for **Kawana**

---

**Name:** Paul Gaughan  
**Address:** 36 Regatta Blvd, Wurtulla.

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

Kawana is an iconic area of the Sunshine Coast, it's boundaries run from the Bridge at Minyama to the Bridge at Wurtulla right out to Mooloolah River and back to the beautiful coast line that screams Sunshine Coast living. Buderim is a beautiful forest area of the Sunshine Coast with an indescribable hinterland feel. The two are both equal in their beauty but so different in their qualities and an amalgamation of parts of these areas would completely change the dynamic of the two. The old saying stands "If it ain't broke don't fix it" there is no need for this change of boundaries and it will only be a large cost to the community and an unnecessary change to two beautiful areas of the Sunshine Coast. I have been a Sunshine Coast local for 26 of my 29 years and most of this time has been in Kawana. I have lived in almost every suburb of Kawana and I honestly believe that this change is a terrible idea and will destroy Kawana and it's rich history.

---

Submission ID: 66848

Time of Submission: 14 Mar 2017 9:13am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66850  
**Date:** Tuesday, 14 March 2017 10:47:26 AM

---

Online submission for **All Districts, Kawana**

---

**Name:** Brian & Alison McCormack  
**Address:** 22 Kumbada CRT Minyama

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

We would like Kawana to be kept in Kawana as there are so many issues in this area and we don't want to join with Buderim.

---

Submission ID: 66850

Time of Submission: 14 Mar 2017 10:47am

Submission IP Address: 119.9.75.229

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66851  
**Date:** Tuesday, 14 March 2017 10:47:57 AM

---

Online submission for **Maryborough**

---

**Name:** W.Foster

**Address:** 167 Ann Street Maryborough QLD 4650

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

I feel that this is a political decision and is not based on anything else but to adjust the numbers to have electorates win by default. To change Tiaro to Gympie is as stupid as you can get that will put these people over another hours drive from their home town at present it is only a few minutes drive and these people also want to de-amalgamate which was illegally forced upon us we had no say as to if we wanted it. This government will try anything to stay in power. If it is not broken why fix it ???. Premiers must win on their own merit not by changing the rules She only just in and the best thing to do would be to hold an election to prove she is right. W.FOSTER

---

Submission ID: 66851

Time of Submission: 14 Mar 2017 10:47am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214



**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66852  
**Date:** Tuesday, 14 March 2017 12:08:27 PM

---

Online submission for **Kurwongbah**

---

**Name:** Gareth McCreadie  
**Address:** 10 Lockyer Drive, Bray Park

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

What are the reasons for moving our address out of the current Pine Rivers boundaries? We have much more in common with families living in Warner and Cashmere, than with Families living in Burpengary. Please just leave the boundary along the river. Our kids go to Genesis and so do other families living in Warner and Cashmere. Leave the boundaries along the river and follow it down Strathpine road. Then anyone East of Strathpine road can move to Kurwongbah boundary. And last of all why change the name from Pine River? D'Aguilar has no meaning to us living in Bray Park.

---

Submission ID: 66852

Time of Submission: 14 Mar 2017 12:08pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66855  
**Date:** Tuesday, 14 March 2017 1:39:51 PM

---

Online submission for **Tibrogargan**

---

**Name:** Leslie Brodie

**Address:** 55 Townsend rd Ocean View 4521

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

I support the redistribution of Tibrogargan as in my district it has reunited the mountain community of Mt Mee and Ocean View. Ocean View was originally called Mt Mee and was only renamed after a school was built. The split in the adjoining mountain community resulted in a significant imbalance of infrastructure and resources for the area. Hopefully now the Federal and Local Govt boundaries will also incorporate Ocean View and Mt Mee into the same boundaries in the future .

---

Submission ID: 66855

Time of Submission: 14 Mar 2017 1:39pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66856  
**Date:** Tuesday, 14 March 2017 1:40:46 PM

---

Online submission for **All Districts**

---

**Name:** Jo-Anne Bridie  
**Address:** 55 Townsend Rd Ocean View Qld 4521

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

Congratulations and Thank You I wholeheartedly support the redistribution . Ocean View Historically was once Mt Mee ,you have made the first step to erase the split/division that two Electorates created on our Mountain We now have to hope that common sense will prevail with both the Federal and Local Governments following your brilliant decision . Thank You Very Much Jo-Anne

---

Submission ID: 66856

Time of Submission: 14 Mar 2017 1:40pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66857  
**Date:** Tuesday, 14 March 2017 1:45:27 PM

---

### Online submission for **All Districts**

---

**Name:** Rex Whitehead  
**Address:** 6 Kokoda Rd Mount Isa 4825

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

I am very disappointed, in the way the electoral commission, has decided, in adding 4 more electorates in Queensland. Can you please advise, why some of the largest electorates, like my electorate of Mount Isa, have been made larger, while adding postage stamp size electorates, in the south eastern corner. I thought common sense would have prevailed, in making the larger electorates smaller, therefore, creating four more electorates, by this method. Yes, I know you are going to say, that the electorate size, is dictated by the amount of people in the electorate. But have any of you people, actually driven the areas of the large electorates, to see what is involved, in representing such large areas. I think not. Instead of just looking at a map, please get out of your plush airconditioned offices, and have a drive around some of the larger electorates. You may then appreciate, the difficulties involved, including the driving hazards, over such long distances. The people of these large electorates, are entitled to have the same representation, as those in the smaller electorates. This is impossible to achieve, when the elected member, has such long distances to travel. Please reconsider, the boundary changes, in taking onboard the reasons, for the above objection to them. I have no problem with the name change, should you want to go down that path. After all, it's only a name. It's the size of the electorates, that worries me.

---

Submission ID: 66857

Time of Submission: 14 Mar 2017 1:45pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66858  
**Date:** Tuesday, 14 March 2017 1:46:14 PM

---

Online submission for **Chatsworth**

---

**Name:** Pavel Cherniakov  
**Address:** 63 Renton St Camp Hill Qld 4152

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

Dear Sir/Madam I'm writing to support the proposed Chatsworth boundary review. I reside in Renton St, Camp Hill which is proposed to be shifted from Chatsworth to Greenslopes electorate. This is a move in the right direction, as the majority of Camp Hill is already located within Greenslopes and only a small part of it, where I happen to live, is in Chatsworth. People from Camp Hill are more likely to travel to the CBD than to Capalaba, therefore, it's only fair that voters of Camp Hill have a say in state infrastructure and transport to the west of their suburb , rather than to the east. I support the proposed adjustment to Chatsworth boundary. Yours faithfully Pavel Cherniakov

---

Submission ID: 66858

Time of Submission: 14 Mar 2017 1:46pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214

7 / 3 / 17.


To Queensland Redisturbution  
Commission,

Dear Sir,

Please Please don't  
change the name of Pine Rivers.  
I have always loved that name,  
and I want it to stay. It will  
upset too many people, including  
me.

Sincerely,  
M. Curwell.

Mrs Melda Curwell,  
13 Plateau Parade,  
Bray Park. 4500



**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66859  
**Date:** Tuesday, 14 March 2017 6:06:20 PM

---

### Online submission for **Gympie, Noosa**

---

**Name:** Tracy Denham

**Address:** 677 McKinnon Dr Cooribah Q 4565

**File Upload:** map.JPG, type image/jpeg, 97.0 KB

**Text:**

I have reviewed the proposal to include the area of Rainbow Beach into the Noosa Electorate, and believe this is an error due to lack of local area knowledge and the capacity to travel from one are to the other. To assess the Rainbow beach area from Noosa has 3 main corridors these are as follows; 1, From the town ship of Tewanin across the river ferry and travel on the Beach north then across Double Island point and then back to Rainbow beach, depending on the tides, beach conditions this could take many hours. 2, From Tewanin Via the unsealed road Counter Road onto Tin can Bay Road to rainbow Beach 104km with an estimated travel Time of 1 Hr and 28 min. 3, from Tewanin via the Bruce HI way through Gympie onto Tin can Bay Road to rainbow Beach 134km with an estimated travel Time of 1 Hr and 28 min. Another component to be considered is that Rainbow beach is part of the Cooloola coast council area and local consider they are part of that Area and not part on the Noosa area in any way. Locals in Rainbow see them selves as part of the Gympie and Cooloola Coast Region, they do business locally in Rainbow, Tin can bay and Gympie as their main business hub. Rain bow is currently in the seat of Gympie and has been maintain by the member for Gympie. A consideration should be made to move Rainbow back into the Gympie electorate, the trade off for this would be to follow the northern boundary of Noosa shire council and include the Pomona township into the Noosa electorate.

---

Submission ID: 66859

Time of Submission: 14 Mar 2017 6:06pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66860  
**Date:** Tuesday, 14 March 2017 8:53:06 PM

---

### Online submission for **All Districts**

---

**Name:** David Littlejohn  
**Address:** 15 Ellerdale St Strathpine

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

Both I, David Littlejohn and my wife, Sonia Littlejohn, object most strongly to the boundary and name change for our region. It is not sufficient enough to have an historical area which has early settler history being obliterated by your inadequate knowledge of facts but it also appears that you have no regard for our history. Is it any wonder that residents don't know anything about the past in our country when there are those such as yourselves who keep changing boundaries and names of places. This area was known as Pine Rivers but through the whims of some it seems who try to justify their importance or try to justify their jobs and impose their whimsical decisions on those of us who are interested in retaining part of our history and identity. It is not your responsibility to change these names as you see fit to please yourselves. If an area has become too densely populated, why should the original region be adversely affected as that creates immense costs involved with changing Electoral Rolls; Company costs as a result of imposed changes to addresses; Phone Books; Directories; Names on Gov. Offices; and so the list goes on. This is nothing but a false attempt to create economic measures because no-one has the knowledge or desire to get on with the real job of providing updates to infrastructure, serving the residents of Qld. The insinuation of changing the electorate we are in to D'Aguilar is preposterous as D'Aguilar is basically a pub on the road 6klms east of Woodford and is so far removed from Strathpine to be utterly insensible and ludicrous. Look at the distance from Strathpine to D'Aguilar and all the sections in between plus the routes one would have to traverse to get to D'Aguilar. Strathpine is primarily part of Pine Rivers. We know this will have no affect on the boundary or name change but maybe if enough concerned people voiced their objection we can retain that which is important to our national history. I guarantee if this was an aboriginal named site you would not be altering the name also.

---

Submission ID: 66860

Time of Submission: 14 Mar 2017 8:53pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213



**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66861  
**Date:** Tuesday, 14 March 2017 10:00:30 PM

---

Online submission for **Buderim, Kawana**

---

**Name:** David Turton  
**Address:** 17 Parkana Cres Buddina

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

I object to the areas of Buddina and Parreara been part of the proposed seat of Buderim. These areas are not Buderim and differ significantly in services, location and attitude. Becoming part of the buderim electorate will adversely affect the representation of the affected area in state matters regardless of who holds the seat.

---

Submission ID: 66861

Time of Submission: 14 Mar 2017 10:00pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66862  
**Date:** Tuesday, 14 March 2017 10:49:07 PM

---

Online submission for **All Districts**

---

**Name:** John Longworth

**Address:** 50 Mooloolah drive Minyama 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

Local identity to remain, no need to change and be the huge listing covered by Buderim

---

Submission ID: 66862

Time of Submission: 14 Mar 2017 10:49pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66863  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 3:39:55 AM

---

Online submission for **Gympie, Noosa**

---

**Name:** Daniel Suttle

**Address:** 44 Double Island Drive Rainbow Beach QLD 4581

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

I am absolutely opposed to Rainbow Beach becoming part of the Noosa electorate. Rainbow Beach has no community link to Noosa, and has a strong community link with Gympie. Noosa is not accessible from Rainbow Beach in all weather conditions unless routing via Gympie. There is no public transport from Rainbow Beach to Noosa, if an elector was to seek to meet with a councilor, one would need to make other travel arrangements. It is an STD call when telephoning Noosa from Rainbow Beach. Rainbow Beach has a strong community link with Gympie and Tin Can Bay and this would be broken if the boundary was changed.

---

Submission ID: 66863

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 3:39am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66864  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 7:38:33 AM

---

Online submission for **Gympie**

---

**Name:** Carla Riehl  
**Address:** 8 Bomburra crt Rainbow Beach QLD 4581

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

I am absolutely opposed to Rainbow Beach becoming part of the Noosa electorate. Rainbow Beach has no community link to Noosa, and has a strong community link with Gympie. Noosa is not accessible from Rainbow Beach in all weather conditions unless routing via Gympie. There is no public transport from Rainbow Beach to Noosa, if an elector was to seek to meet with a councilor, one would need to make other travel arrangements. It is an STD call when telephoning Noosa from Rainbow Beach. Rainbow Beach has a strong community link with Tin Can Bay and this would be broken if the boundary was changed.

---

Submission ID: 66864

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 7:38am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66865  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 7:49:32 AM

---

Online submission for **Gympie, Noosa**

---

**Name:** Mary Martin  
**Address:** 43 Stewart Terrace Gympie 4570 Qld

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

Including Rainbow Beach in the Noosa electoral area is quite amazing to me as there isn't a direct road between Noosa and Rainbow Beach unless you drive along the sand or on a fire trail. To access Rainbow Beach from Noosa one has to drive through most of the Gympie area. Apart from Rainbow Beach being part of the Cooloola Coast and has more connection to Cooloola Cove and Tincan Bay than Noosa it all is quite impossible to see it as part of Noosa area. Gympie has a connection to Rainbow Beach "as their Beach". I wish it to be known that Rainbow Beach and Noosa Beach are so geometrically and culturally different that it is impossible to join them in the same electoral area. Perhaps in 30 years time when the boundaries are again looked at and a bitumen road is built to access Noosa and Rainbow beaches it would be more feasible to join the two regions. Kind regards Mary Martin

---

Submission ID: 66865

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 7:49am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66866  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 8:32:54 AM

---

## Online submission for **Buderim, Kawana**

---

**Name:** Robyn Willey  
**Address:** 36 Adaluma Avenue, Buddina, Qld, 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

I strongly object to the beachside suburbs of Buddina, Parrearra and Minyama being transferred to the Buderim electorate. There are few similarities between the hinterland, hill-based area (Buderim) and the three beach-side suburbs. Buderim is outside the designated development corridor and future transport nodes that are designated for the Buddina/Parrearra/Minyama areas in the future. Buderim has its own issues around development densities, access and demographics that are simply not replicated in Buddina, Parrearra or Minyama. Any representative elected under the name Buderim would have great difficulty in working out their priorities given the very separate geographical, demographic and economic nature of Buderim versus Buddina, Parrearra and Minyama. The Buddina, Parrearra and Minyama areas are unarguably part of Kawana (in fact they were developed under the umbrella of Kawana starting back in the 1960s) and are part of the Sunshine Coast's fastest growing area with new homes, new facilities including the important regional hospital, new residents, new development opportunities, being within the designated transport corridor with the potential of a future light rail connection. It absolutely does not make sense to remove Buddina, Parrearra and Minyama from the Kawana electorate. Within these suburbs that you wish to move to Buderim we have Kawana Shopping Centre, Kawana Library and in fact we have the same postcode as all suburbs that make up Kawana - 4575. This postcode is the same as Warana, Birtinya, Parrearra, Minyama, Kawana Island and so on. They all have the same postcode because they are part of the same region. I vehemently object to the recommendation that Buddina, Parrearra and Minyama should be moved out of Kawana and put into Buderim. There is no possible justification for this - political, economic, geographic or demographic.

---

Submission ID: 66866

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 8:32am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66867  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 8:40:27 AM

---

Online submission for **Kawana**

---

**Name:** Pamela Vickers  
**Address:** 6 Bandalong Street Buddina, 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

As a longterm resident of Buddina, I do not identify with being in the Buderim electorate. The two areas have a totally different identity and growth pattern and I fear the wishes of our residents would be comprised by this change.

---

Submission ID: 66867

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 8:40am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66868  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 8:47:23 AM

---

Online submission for **Kawana**

---

**Name:** Gregory Vickers

**Address:** 6 Bandalong Street, Buddina, 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

As a resident of Kawana, I object to the change in boundaries. I feel that Buddina is incompatible with Buderim.

---

Submission ID: 66868

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 8:47am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213



**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66869  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 8:55:02 AM

---

Online submission for **Gympie**

---

**Name:** Carol Fischer  
**Address:** 4 Manooka Drive Rainbow Beach

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I don't see any benefit for Rainbow Beach residences being in the Noosa electorate so I strongly object

---

Submission ID: 66869

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 8:55am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66870  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 8:55:18 AM

---

Online submission for **Kawana**

---

**Name:** donald McBryde  
**Address:** 36 Adaluma Ave

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

The idea that Minyama Buddina should be included in the hill area of Buderim makes no sense at all Buddina and Minyama are south of the river on the flat land by the beach and always referred as a whole as Kawana - on the flat, by the beach! We have nothing in common with the north of the river precinct of Buderim and environs and their particular issues Leave the suburbs of Kawana intact!!!! Don McBryde

---

Submission ID: 66870

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 8:55am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66871  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 9:01:15 AM

---

Online submission for **Buderim, Kawana**

---

**Name:** Sharon Williams  
**Address:** 25 Koorungal Cr Buddina

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

I strongly object to the recommendation that Buddina, Parrearra and Minyama should be moved out of Kawana and put into Buderim. There is no possible justification for this - political, economic, geographic or demographic

---

Submission ID: 66871

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 9:01am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66872  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 9:05:14 AM

---

Online submission for **Gympie**

---

**Name:** Ruth Modine  
**Address:** 4 Rainbow Beach Road

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

I am opposed to the proposed electoral boundary change for Rainbow Beach for the following reasons: There is no community affinity between Noosa and Rainbow Beach. The new boundaries will split Rainbow Beach from Tin Can Bay and Cooloola Cove. We all have common interests in the region Rainbow Beach has more community of interest with Gympie township than the Noosa township. Rainbow Beach has always been part of the Nash, then Gympie electorate Physical access to Noosa is much more limited and time consuming than access to Gympie, i.e.. no public transport to speak of. All our services come from Gympie township including local government, businesses such as banking, Council services, local disaster management and services, schools etc.. These services are looked after by our Member from Gympie, not Noosa. Ruth Modine

---

Submission ID: 66872

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 9:05am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66873  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 9:30:46 AM

---

Online submission for **All Districts, Gympie, Noosa**

---

**Name:** Johannes Fischer  
**Address:** 4 Manooka Drive Rainbow Beach

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

I strongly object to Rainbow Beach being added to Noosa electorate for the following reasons. 1. Absolutely no benefit to have a representative based in Noosa. 2. To travel to Noosa by car you have to drive through Gympie, a round trip of nearly 4 hours. 3. Rainbow Beach is in the Gympie shire. 4. We have been well served by our candidate in Gympie. 5. Rainbow Beach is closer to Gympie than Noosa.

---

Submission ID: 66873

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 9:30am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66874  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 9:33:15 AM

---

### Online submission for **Mcmaster**

---

**Name:** Veronica (Mickey) McKellar  
**Address:** 11 Ramtron Place, Ayr, Q4807

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

The reason I am taking the time to lodge a submission is simply that, in my opinion, it will be impossible for one person to effectively cover the new Burdekin electorate. This being the case our region (Burdekin) will eventually be forgotten. Whether you realise it or not people in these regional communities have had enough of being overlooked and in this case decisions made with absolutely no consultation. I am 69 years of age, I was born in Ayr and apart from 20 years, have spent my entire life in this area as a business person and since retirement as a volunteer for many organisations. It saddens me when I see businesses closing in our towns and I feel that changes to the boundaries will be just another nail in the coffin. Regional areas are suffering and no one listens now, what hope will we have with an electorate of this size and one person attempting to represent and deal with the many issues that arise - we, the Burdekin electorate, will be voice in the wilderness. Also I am extremely disappointed that bureaucrats are allowed to make these decisions on behalf of communities they know nothing about. As for the name change, it has been Burdekin for as long as I can remember, what are the benefits of changing this name and further confusing voters. Queensland politicians and bureaucrats need to think long and hard about the decisions they are making and consult more with the people who, via their taxes and votes, keep them all in jobs.

---

Submission ID: 66874

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 9:33am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66875  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 9:49:37 AM

---

Online submission for **Callide**

---

**Name:** Neil Robinson

**Address:** 44 Noel Robinsons Road CHINCHILLA QLD 4413

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

We object to being placed in the Callide electorate and would prefer to stay in the Warrego as being on the extreme southern point of the electorate we feel we will have no representation from the Callide representative. Being in the Warrego electorate, the representatives actually travelled through the area and were therefore aware of the problems we faced.

---

Submission ID: 66875

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 9:49am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66876  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 9:56:28 AM

---

Online submission for **Callide**

---

**Name:** Noel Robinson

**Address:** 552 Noel Robinsons Road CHINCHILLA QLD 4413

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

We object to being placed in the Callide electorate and would prefer to stay in the Warrego as we feel being on the southern point of the electorate we will have no representation from the Callide member of parliament. At least in the Warrego electorate the member had to travel through our area and was therefore aware of the problems we faced.

---

Submission ID: 66876

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 9:56am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214



**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66877  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 10:08:28 AM

---

Online submission for **Gympie**

---

**Name:** Bradley McGrath

**Address:** 7 Coolberry court Rainbow Beach 4581

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

Rainbow Beach needs to remain part of the Gympie Region. We have seen what happened and the expense that was created when Sunshine Coast and Noosa amalgamated then deamalgamated.

---

Submission ID: 66877

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 10:08am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66878  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 10:17:30 AM

---

## Online submission for **Gympie, Noosa**

---

**Name:** Camille Nash

**Address:** 3/36 Manooka Drive Rainbow Beach QLD 4581

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

I would like to submit my opposition to the proposed changes to the Gympie Electoral boundaries to include Rainbow Beach in the Noosa Electorate. Rainbow Beach has a strong community relationship with Tin Can Bay, Cooloola Cove and the Gympie Region which I believe would be ruined if the electoral boundaries changed to include Rainbow Beach in the Noosa electorate. There is absolutely no community link between Rainbow Beach and Noosa. We have no public transport between Rainbow Beach and Noosa and no direct access to Noosa unless you have a 4WD or have to travel via Gympie to Noosa. With Rainbow Beach in the Noosa electorate I can see fees and charges increasing for property owners in Rainbow Beach, which would create financial difficulties for current permanent residents. Of which a large majority are retired. Over the years of living in and around Rainbow Beach I have seen many people come to the area and use the term 'Oh wow this place is like Noosa years ago'... some of these have then gone on to try and develop the area to make it more like Noosa now... of which many have failed. Noosa is beautiful in it's own right and definitely has alot to offer visitors and locals. Rainbow Beach is also beautiful but in a different more remote way than Noosa, Rainbow Beach locals like the affiliation with the Gympie Region and absolutely love that Rainbow Beach is not Noosa. Permanent residents with high school age children send them to Gympie for senior schooling of which they build community relationships that last for years to come. Recently we have seen some improvements to our little town thanks to the Gympie Regional Council. With the proposed changes to the Electoral Boundaries these improvements would obviously stop and take a long while for approval through new channels.

---

Submission ID: 66878

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 10:17am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66879  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 11:24:14 AM

---

Online submission for **Traeger**

---

**Name:** Neil Stephensen  
**Address:** 12 Salamaua Street

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

We need to leave the electoral boundaries as they are. We do not support the change as it will bring no benefit to us and only make our area larger and more expensive to govern. Having more seats in the Brisbane area means that it will be harder to get funding and support for projects in the North.

---

Submission ID: 66879

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 11:24am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214

**From:** Shirley Allen  
**To:** [Boundaries](#)  
**Subject:** Communities of Miles And Chinchilla - objection to proposed re-location  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 11:54:49 AM

---

I wish to register my strong objection to the redistribution of the above areas into the Callide region.

We have NO community interest with areas so far north, being no way connected through Regional or local government or even tourism boundaries.

All our interests, commerce, transport etc are focused on the Western Downs , following the Warrego Highway. east - west. direction.

PLEASE use your expertise to seek another alignment of voters with common interest and needs.

Yours faithfully,

Shirley Allen,  
58 Bell Street, Chinchilla.

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66880  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 12:35:51 PM

---

Online submission for **Kawana**

---

**Name:** Kent Manning

**Address:** 9 Pakee Street, Alexandra Headland, Qld. 4572

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

ECQ, Hello, I would like to express my disagreement to the northern change to the Kawana electoral boundary, this truncation would fracture the social, economic, and geographical integrity of this coastal community. A large part of the public infrastructure for this beach strip electorate and social / ecological / business area is in this part of the existing and traditionally zoned boundary. My suggestion is to exclude Sippy Downs [which is more familiar to Buderim in every way], Palmview, and part of the southern inclusions of Caloundra to keep this community and electorate engaged and cohesive. The Mooloola River would be naturally logic for a boundary into the future. As stated in the ECQ redistribution guidelines, it is not just about the numbers, Buderim has no relationship politically or socially to this part of the Kawana community. With deep concern I ask you to please reconsider, KENT MANNING .

---

Submission ID: 66880

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 12:35pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66881  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 12:38:08 PM

---

### Online submission for **Mcmaster**

---

**Name:** susan duncan  
**Address:** PO.Box 122,Ayr.4807.

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

Thank you for the opportunity to lodge my submission. We are currently in the old electorate of Burdekin and would be in the enlarged electorate of Mc Master. Firstly the lack of adequate representation from this change should be obvious. My role is to conduct a sugar cane farming operation in the current drought declaration circumstances whilst being told that electricity prices will rise outside of the normal CPI rises. As we know, our underground water supply is currently dropping due to these continuing inadequate wet seasons ..all this points to a need for urgent government action to raise the Burdekin Dam wall so as to provide for cheap hydro power as well as provide for Townsville's expanding water supply needs should the requirement arise .Government should be addressing the issues within the electorate by providing the infrastructure to enable the commerce to expand and give back revenue so the whole process can go around again . Provision should be made for the voters' basic requirement of water. If you cut out an important area of commerce from electoral representation, you won't have the revenue to address projects elsewhere in the state. So my point is this ..you need to connect with the issues in the commerce from agriculture to provide the revenue for the necessary projects that are needed to run the state. How better to do this than recognize the importance to represent these constituents. So from my perspective..leave the old boundaries alone.

---

Submission ID: 66881

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 12:38pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66882  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 12:57:32 PM

---

Online submission for **D'Aguilar**

---

**Name:** Leigh Henzell  
**Address:** Henzell Rd Mt Pleasant. Dayboro. 4521

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
D'Aguilar is an inappropriate name. Pine Rivers is better.

---

Submission ID: 66882

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 12:57pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66883  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 1:05:09 PM

---

Online submission for **All Districts, D'Aguilar**

---

**Name:** Liz Gray

**Address:** 1100 Winn Rd, Mt Sampson, 4520

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

I wish to state my total disagreement regarding the name change of our electorate to DAguilar. Firstly, DAguilar is not even in our electorate boundary, and secondly, it would be much more sensible to retain this areas name as Pine Rivers. That is the central area to our district, and is a much more sensible name.

---

Submission ID: 66883

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 1:05pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214



**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66884  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 1:51:57 PM

---

Online submission for **D'Aguilar**

---

**Name:** Michael Flanigan  
**Address:** 516 Mt Brisbane Rd Mt Pleasant 4521

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I think this electorate should be called Pine Rivers

---

Submission ID: 66884

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 1:51pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66885  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 2:09:00 PM

---

Online submission for **D'Aguilar**

---

**Name:** Kate Honnef  
**Address:** 2557 Mt Mee Rd

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

I disagree with the name of this electorate as most places don't have anything to do with the D'Aguilar township. There seem to be a lot of people unhappy with the name more so than the boundary so I think it would be a good idea to rename it.

---

Submission ID: 66885

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 2:08pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66886  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 2:10:37 PM

---

Online submission for **Kawana**

---

**Name:** Summer Darling

**Address:** 8 Easter Street Parrearra QLD 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**


As a resident of the area I am very concerned by his proposed split of the area as it removes key parts of the area such as Kawana Shopping World, Kawana Lifesaving Club, Kawana Island, etc, basically everything that is Kawana. Caloundra and Buderim are already a very large footprint and to make them even larger is concerning as far as a local member servicing such a big area and properly looking after the residents and businesses.

---

Submission ID: 66886

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 2:10pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214



Obj-494

## Samford & Districts Progress & Protection Association Inc

Publisher of "The Village Pump"

PO Box 94

SAMFORD QLD 4520

Email: samfordprogressassn@gmail.com

15 March 2017

The Secretary / Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Electoral Commission Queensland  
Level 6, Forestry House  
160 Mary Street  
BRISBANE QLD 4000

Dear Secretary

At tonight's meeting of this Association, it was resolved to submit this submission regarding the redistribution between the proposed electorates of **FERNY GROVE** and **D'Aguilar**.

At present the electorate of Ferny Grove contains all of the Samford Valley localities including Highvale, Closeburn, Samford Village, Camp Mountain, Wights Mountain etc.

Under the proposed redistribution the locality of **Camp Mountain** will stay in Ferny Grove electorate but the rest of the Samford Valley localities will become part of the D'Aguilar electorate.

This Association sees this move as very detrimental for the following reasons;

- 1/ The State political representation for the Samford Valley area would be split between two electorates with a subsequent loss of cohesion across the localities of the Samford Valley, and
- 2/ There would be a lot of confusion for Camp Mountain residents when it came to voting in State elections. At present they vote along with the rest of the Samford Valley electorates at the polling booth in Samford Village. Under this redistribution if they tried to vote at the Samford booth they would not be on the electoral roll and would have to drive to Ferny Grove to a booth or possibly submit an absentee vote if possible.

3/ The Samford Valley area has well defined physical boundaries - the watershed formed by the surrounding mountains (Mt Nebo, Mt Glorious, Camp Mountain, Clear Mountain etc.). The area has a long history of local identity, unusually strong local community groups including the Rural Fire Brigade, Show Society, Pony Club, Samford Commons, Soccer and Rugby League Clubs, and the Progress Association. Also the area was historically included in the Pine Rivers electorate before the Ferny Grove electorate was formed. The Samford Valley area, including Camp Mountain is in the Moreton Bay Regional Council area, which also includes the proposed electorate of D'Aguilar.

The local and state boundaries would be more in line if Camp Mountain was included in the D'Aguilar electorate and this would lessen confusion for many residents.

4/ As the Camp Mountain locality consists of mainly small acreage blocks and parklands, the number of voters would not be significant in relation to the total number of voters in both Ferny Grove and D'Aguilar, therefore the impact of a change would not be significant.

In closing I would like to thank you for the opportunity to present these concerns to the Commission, and I trust that they will be given due consideration.

Yours Faithfully

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Bill Gibson', written in a cursive style.

Bill Gibson  
Secretary

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66888  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 2:38:17 PM

---

Online submission for **Kawana**

---

**Name:** Louis Jones  
**Address:** 7 Bluebird Parade BOKARINA

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

However could such a crazy idea, come into ANYONES mind??? To include Kawana, Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim Electorate??? Absolutely RIDICULOUS!!! Should not be even considered!!! These present Suburbs, have their own identity and involve, a HUGH AMOUNT OF BUSINESSES, INDUSTRIAL AREAS, not to mention, all the NEW HOSPITALS, in KAWANA, and NO WHERE ELSE!! ABSOLUTELY CRAZY, and the idea, for whatever it was, should be ABOLISHED, IMMEDIATELY!!! It shouldn't even be considered!!! Yours sincerely, Louis Jones of BOKARINA. a part of KAWANA!! Yours sincerely, Louis Jones of BOKARINA, also a part of KAWANA!!

---

Submission ID: 66888

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 2:38pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66889  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 2:56:45 PM

---

Online submission for **Nicklin**

---

**Name:** Trevor & Glenys Martin  
**Address:** 12 Grenada Way Parrearra 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

Please do not change our boundaries, we are not Buderim we are the Coast and want to stay that way!

---

Submission ID: 66889

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 2:56pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66890  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 3:05:22 PM

---

Online submission for **D'Aguilar**

---

**Name:** Chresten  
**Address:** Strathpine 4500

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

Hi I have lived and worked in this area for most of my life and I believe zoning this area as D'Aguilar to be misleading and geographically inappropriate. The township of D'Aguilar isn't even situated anywhere near the boundry. I understand the edge of the D'Aguilar NP protrudes into the very back of the boundary but with minimal residence of this area anyway that is neither here nor there. I suggest a more indicative area name would be "Samsonvale" due to Lake Samsonvale and all of it tributaries expanding/feeding a major portion of the area. Regards Chresten

---

Submission ID: 66890

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 3:05pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214



**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66891  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 3:46:25 PM

---

Online submission for **All Districts**

---

**Name:** Gordon Wallace  
**Address:** 111 Oceanic Drive WARANA 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

Please do not change the boundaries of the existing Kawana electorate. The confusion that this will create for those who have lived within the existing boundaries, and there many aged and longtime residents here, could result in an outcome that is contrary to the true desires of the electorate as a whole. I understand that it is difficult for the E.C.Q. to alter the already proposed changes however I am also confident that the Commission can create a more "user friendly" version of changes if changes must be made at all.

---

Submission ID: 66891

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 3:46pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213

**From:** [Boundaries](#)  
**To:** [grcsubmissions](#)  
**Subject:** Submission ID: 66892  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 3:52:02 PM

---

Online submission for **Mulgrave**

---

**Name:** Patsy Hannam  
**Address:** Munro St Babinda 4861

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

Babinda, Mirriwinni and Bartle Frere are part of Cairns Regional Council. Under the redistribution, we would be moved to Tully and the Atherton Tablelands. Most of our business is connected with Cairns, and our centre is funded by the Cairns Council. We feel that Cairns should not be divided, and the new boundaries moved south to the Cassowary Coast council boundaries.

---

Submission ID: 66892

Time of Submission: 15 Mar 2017 3:52pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214

**From:** Kerri Hewitt  
**To:** [Boundaries](#)  
**Subject:** Boundary changes  
**Date:** Wednesday, 15 March 2017 4:01:08 PM

---

I wish to object to the boundary realignment for Rainbow Beach.  
Why align Rainbow Beach with Noosa when it is so far away. It seems odd to me that Tin Can Bay and Cooloola Cove would not be included. Is there a hidden agenda?

Kerri Hewitt  
91 Sorensen Road  
Gympie